

1 2 **Stratham Planning Board Meeting Minutes** 3 **April 22, 2020** Virtual Meeting/Conference Call 4 5 Time: 7:00 PM 6 7 Members Present: Mike Houghton, Selectmen's Representative Tom House, Member 8 Robert Roseen, Member 9 David Canada, Member 10 Robert Baskerville, Alternate Member 11 Pamela Hollasch, Alternate Member 12 13 Members Absent: Colin Laverty, Member 14 15 16 **Staff Present:** Tavis Austin, Town Planner 17 1. Call to Order/Roll Call 18 19 Mr. House started the meeting and explained the government order that allowed the meeting to take place over the phone. Mr. Austin took roll call: House, Present; 20 Canada, Present; Houghton, Present; Roseen, Present; Hollasch, Present. Member 21 22 Hollasch was asked to be a voting member in Mr. Laverty's absence by Chairman House. 23 2. Review/Approval of Meeting Minutes 24 **a.** March 18, 2020 25 26 Mr. House commented on the March 18, 2020 Meeting Minutes. Mr. Houghton made a motion to approve the March 18, 2020 Meeting Minutes as amended and Mr. 27 Canada seconded the motion. Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had 28 29 the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; 30 Hollasch, Aye. 3. Public Hearing(s): 31 a. Site Plan Review Permit. NHSPCA "Site Plan" Expansion to include building 32 additions, a horse rehabilitation arena, dog play areas with new access drives and 33 parking located at 104 Portsmouth Avenue, Stratham, NH 03885, Map 13 Lots 83, 34 84 & 85 submitted by Jonathan Ring, Jones & Beach Engineers, Inc., P.O. Box 35 219, Stratham, NH 03885. 36

37

Mr. Austin said that this application is functionally the same as the application the Board received a year ago. The changes are that the project has reduced in size. All the Horsley Witten comments have been addressed and many of the conditions that exist from the original approval are either in process or have been completed. Mr. Austin has provided revised conditions of approval both subsequent and precedent reflective of the changes to the site plan presented. Staff has no concerns with the project as submitted and recommended the Planning Board accepts the application as complete and move forward with the public hearing. Two additional condition precedents were added.

 Mr. Houghton made a motion to accept the application as complete and Mr. Roseen seconded the motion. Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye.

Mr. House asked the applicant if they had any comments about the application.

Jonathan Ring from Jones and Beach introduced Lucy Schlaffer from ARQR architects, Paul Bonacci from ARQR architects, Lisa Dennison from SPCA, David Choate from SPCA, Wayne Morrill from Jones and Beach, and in the audience is Fred Emmanuel. Mr. Ring said they were last before the Board on September 4, 2019 for Site Plan review and approval with conditions. They did redesign after that. The plan in September is essentially the same as it is now except for the barn and the arena being smaller now. The parking has been revised as well. In accordance with the reconfiguration they have revised the drainage areas, the sidewalks, and the sprinkler and fire cistern, which went from 40,000 gallons to 24,000 gallons. There is a smaller leach field and only one parking lot light instead of three, which is dark sky compliant. The landscaping has been revised. They have been through another round of Horsley Witten drainage comments, they have submitted an AoT permit to NHDES and they have received their first set of comments and are in the process of addressing those comments. The Fred Emanuel, right-of-way has been identified on a Plat which was recorded at the Registry of Deeds. That notation will be on their site plan including the reference to the RCRD plan number for the Verra right-of-way plan. Most of this is shown on the site plan which is Sheet-C2. NHDOT permit is almost in hand; they have explained to them that all staff will be directed to use the main access drive. NHDOT are concerned that there are three properties. They are working with NHDOT to get 'wire' or gate in compliance with NHDOT. Mr. Ring is turning it over to Ms. Dennison and Mr. Bonacci.

Ms. Dennison said that the effort was to simplify the project to make the expansion more affordable and to not impact the woodland areas. The elevation and materials are the same as the plan submitted before. The landscape plan was modified to adapt to the reconfigured wetland basin that Jones and Beach, had developed for stormwater. The stormwater basins are now contained in the Eastern down slope of the property and will be planted with a series of plantings that they had shown

78 79	previously. There will be pollinator gardens and storm water plantings so it will be a vegetated look.
80	Mr. House asked if that was all the applicant wanted to say.
81	Mr. Ring answered that was it.
82 83	Mr. House asked each Board member if they had questions and they each answered they did not.
84 85 86	Mr. Houghton made a motion to open the public hearing and Mr. Canada seconded the motion. Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye.
87 88 89 90 91 92 93	Fred Emanuel introduced himself to the Board. He said that there is a right-of-way that they are donating to the SPCA which has been recorded at Deeds. It is shown on the Site Plan on Sheet-C2. They have also prepared a Quit Claim Deed that has been sent to the SPCA for their review. There are also some IRS forms that need to be signed by the SPCA and one of the signatures required is of the appraiser for the right-of-way. Mr. Emanuel said that the only items left are the signatures and the IRS forms.
94 95 96 97	David Choate introduced himself. He said that they are appreciative of Mr. Emanuel's donation of the right-of-way. The Deed has been signed and is with Mr. Emanuel's attorney now. He said they should have all Mr. Emanuel's paperwork wrapped up by the end of the week.
98 99	Mr. House confirmed that everyone participating in the meeting was alone in participating.
100	Mr. House asked the Board if they had questions.
101 102 103	Mr. Roseen asked Mr. Ring if somewhere he could add a few notes regarding construction, quality-assurance for installation of the rain garden. There should be an inspection of the gravel base bottom of the rain garden.
104 105	Mr. Ring said they can add those notes. The engineer will inspect it before the stones are installed.
106 107 108	Mr. Austin asked Mr. Roseen if the condition subsequent could be as follows: "Applicant add note to Sheet-C3 or other for base bottom stone protection with engineer witnessing at the install."
109	Mr. Roseen said that sounds right.

Mr. Canada made a motion to close the public hearing and Mr. Roseen seconded. 110 Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had the following results: House, 111 Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye. 112 Mr. Austin said public hearing closed at 7:34 PM. 113 114 Mr. Houghton asked about the waiver. Mr. Austin said the applicant requested a waiver, but the Planning Board had already 115 accepted it before. The waiver was to request to waive the Site Plan application fee 116 because it was a rehearing. 117 Mr. Houghton made a motion to accept the waiver to not require an application fee 118 for this submission and Mr. Canada seconded. Chairman House asked for a roll call 119 vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; 120 Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye. 121 Mr. Austin read the conditions precedent and subsequent: 122 **Conditions Precedent:** 123 124 1. The applicant shall complete and address those comments presented in the Horsley Witten Group (HWG) review of this submission, 125 2. The applicant shall coordinate with the Town Assessor to confirm any changes 126 related to tax map and lot numbers, or addresses related to the project. 127 3. The applicant shall coordinate with the abutter, Fred Emanuel, to address the matter 128 of the access easement as denoted on the Plan as submitted (Verra Survey and Ouit 129 Claim Deed). Every effort should be made to record these agreement 130 contemporaneously with the Mylar. 131 4. A note shall be added to the plan stating that the project will proceed in strict 132 accordance with the Town of Stratham Site Plan Review Regulations unless so 133 modified by Planning Board action April 22, 2020. 134 5. Applicant to add note to plan stipulating all exterior lighting to be dark sky friendly 135 to further Site Plan Review Regulations. 136 6. Applicant shall add note to the plan indicating fire gate to satisfaction of Fire Chief. 137 **Conditions Subsequent:** 138 1. The applicant shall comply with all federal and state permits as applicable. 139

- 2. The Site Review Agreement and related Financial Guaranty, in accordance with Section VII of the Site Plan Regulations, shall be based upon the cost of the stormwater infrastructure elements, parking areas, and site access elements of the approved Site Plan
- 3. Add note to C-3 for base bottom stone protection with Engineer witnessing at BMP installations.

Mr. House asked the Board if they had anything to add.

Mr. Canada made a motion to approve the project as submitted subject to the conditions and Mr. Houghton seconded. Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye.

Mr. Ring said thank you to the Board.

Ms. Dennison said thank you to the Board and thanked the Board for reconsideration of moving the meeting to tonight.

4. Public Meeting:

a. Staff updated Board CMS Project and 674:54 Notification.

Mr. Austin said that he sent an email last Friday to the Board about the Middle School putting the Town Planning Board on notice that they are exercising their authority under Statute 674:54, Government Land Uses. It is not an action item for the Board. Mr. Austin had asked the Board to think about if they had any comments related to the Middle School project. Functionally speaking, the Board needs to determine if they want to hold a public hearing or not. Mr. Austin said that any comments that the Board members have he can collect and submit to the Middle School. If the Board decides to have the public hearing, there is no way to put binding comments or conditions of approval, the hearing would just be informational. The Board needs to make a motion to either direct Staff to schedule public hearing or to state the Planning Board will not be holding a public hearing.

- Mr. House asked what the Board thinks.
- The Board stated that they do not think a public hearing is needed.

Mr. Austin said he spoke with the engineer for the project on the phone and they went through the entire Site Plan Review Checklist and they have confirmed compliance with the regulations including Addendum C. They are reducing their overall stormwater and they are increasing their stormwater treatment on site. They are avoiding wetland buffers and wetlands. They are not triggering additional parking because the original site plan had parking planned for this expansion. Mr. Austin said he thinks that the Board is right in

their decision that a public hearing is not needed. The Board now needs to make a motion 175 that they accept the notion from the Middle School under 674:54, and state that the Board 176 will not be conducting a public hearing. 177 Mr. Canada made a motion to accept the CMS 674:54 notification, not convene a 178 179 public hearing on the project, and get any Member comments to Mr. Austin by May 01, 2020. Mr. Roseen seconded the motion. Chairman House asked for a roll call 180 vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; 181 182 Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye. b. Staff update on Subdivision Amendment at 90 Winnicutt 183 Mr. Austin said that he received an email from a potential homebuilder on the Treat 184 Farm Subdivision aka Robie Farm aka 90 Winnicutt. The recently approved cluster 185 subdivision who from Mr. Austin's understanding has been preparing to record a 186 Mylar. He said it was a lengthy cluster subdivision review. It went through a yield 187 plan, concept plan, and ultimately turned into an 18-lot subdivision. One large lot of 188 open space, one lot with the existing house and 16 individual lots along the proposed 189 Treat Farm Road. Mr. Austin said the request is to go from 16 residential lots to 14 190 residential lots. Mr. Austin asked if the Board believes that is a substantial enough 191 change to require a new public hearing. Mr. Austin said nothing is changing except 192 the number of lots. Mr. Austin asked if the Board is willing to accept 14 lots instead 193 of 16 as substantial conformance or does the Board believe that warrants a new 194 public hearing. 195 Mr. House asked Mr. Austin why the applicant is reducing it. 196 Mr. Austin answered that the lots on the left side of Treat Farm Road, or the lots 197 198 located at the top of the plan, were narrow and the builder allegedly has several buyers who want larger homes. They are essentially willing to have fewer lots with 199 larger homes rather than more lots with smaller homes. 200 Mr. Canada asked if the lot configuration would change. 201 Mr. Austin said that the lot configuration would not change, but there would be two 202 203 fewer property lines in it. Mr. Houghton asked if they are merging lots. 204 Mr. Austin answered that conceptually speaking they are merging, but that term is 205 not accurate because the lots do not exist yet. 206 Ms. Hollasch asked if they are increasing their footprint. 207

Mr. Austin answered that they are not, he said the overall construction will be the

208209

same.

210	Mr. House asked if Wayne Morrill had anything to say.
211 212 213 214	Mr. Morrill said Mr. Austin explained it well. He said there were 6 narrow and long lots toward the easterly side of the subdivision and two of the lot lines would be removed. He said there is no change to the roadway or overall impact of the lots. It is just a matter of people wanting larger houses.
215	Mr. House asked if there is still access to the open space.
216 217	Mr. Morrill said that none of that area has changed from what they approved originally.
218	Ms. Hollasch asked what a good reason would be to have a public hearing.
219 220	Mr. Austin said that if the request were to modify conditions of approval, add a lot, add traffic, or increase the subdivision, there would be a new public hearing.
221	Mr. Houghton asked if the plan would be updated to show 14 lots.
222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233	Mr. Austin said yes it would. He said he recommended a plan be put together for the Planning Board that showed what was originally approved and what they are planning to do in reducing the number of building lots. He said he made it clear that this was a one-time option. He said all the plan sets would have to be revised to 14 lots. He would reference this meeting where the Board was accepting of 14 instead of 16 lots pending that all other conditions of approval remain in effect. Mr. Houghton made a motion to reduce the number of building lots from 16 lots to 14 lots with the conditions and with the applicant re-submitting plans and to defer the public hearing. Mr. Roseen seconded the motion. Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye. Mr. Austin asked if Mr. Morrill was all set.
234	Mr. Morrill said thank you to the Board for hearing him tonight.
235	c. Staff update on ROW permit/5G
236 237 238 239 240 241 242	Mr. Austin said that he met with the Select Board about working with the Director of Public Works to create a Right-Of-Way Permit for Stratham. He said that currently the only form of a right-of-way permit that the town has is the driveway permit. There is no form or application for when someone is proposing to do work in the town right-of-way. Something such as putting up a new electrical pole, or a trench through the road, there is no application besides the driveway permit. It would be helpful for the protection of the town and to secure the same bonding or surety
243	protection that Planning Board applications have and to make sure that the Town's

244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254		investments remain solid. One of the other related concerns is that with the implementation of 5G antennas, cell phone providers are able to add antennas to anything that is in public rights-of-way. The Planning Board cannot regulate what it looks like or how it functions, the only thing the Planning Board can control is the 'host structures' location. Only building code regulations can apply to installation the antenna. Mr. Austin said that it was brought to his attention about where the Planning Board has a role into the new permit. Mr. Austin said that in Section 19 of the Zoning Regulations, it is clear what the town has authority over and what the town does not have authority over. Mr. Austin asked the Board members to review Section 19 and, specifically, Section 19.4. He said 19.4 is not something the Planning Board wrote. He asked the Board to think about how Section 19 is applied to public property.
255		Mr. Houghton asked Mr. Austin if he has any photos of what the antennas look like.
256		Mr. Austin said that he will find some examples.
257		Mr. Canada asked if anyone knows what is happening to the cell tower site.
258		Mr. Austin answered that the cell tower is there already.
259 260 261		Mr. Austin said Staff has received an application for a Preliminary Consultation for site plan review for a solar panel installation at Aberdeen. It is essentially the same as Stratham Green's solar installation.
262 263		Mr. Austin asked the Board if they would like to have only one meeting in May or continue with two meetings.
264 265		Mr. Houghton said he thinks it is reasonable to reduce the meetings to once a month during this environment.
266		The Board agreed that once a month is reasonable.
267 268		Mr. Austin said that he is planning to get materials out to the Legacy 33 Committee and meet with them and then have them meet with the Board by the June meeting.
269		Mr. House asked the Board if there are any more questions or comments.
270	5.	Adjournment
271272273		Mr. Canada made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:15 PM and Mr. Houghton seconded the motion. Chairman House asked for a roll call vote which had the following results: House, Aye; Canada, Aye; Houghton, Aye; Roseen, Aye; Hollasch, Aye.
274		
275		

276

277

278 Note(s):

- 1. Materials related to the above meeting are available for review at the Municipal Center during normal business hours. For more information, contact the Stratham Planning Office at 603-772-7391.
- 281
 2. The Planning Board reserves the right to take items out of order and to discuss and/or vote on items that are not listed on the agenda.

