

1			1716			
2	Stratham Planning Board Meeting Minutes					
3	September 1, 2021					
4			Municipal Center, Meeting Room A			
5			Time: 7:00 pm			
6 7	Me	ember P	resent: Tom House, Chair			
8	1010		David Canada, Vice Chair			
9			Mike Houghton, Selectmen's Representative			
10			Pamela Hollasch, Member			
10			Joe Anderson, Alternate Member			
12			Chris Zaremba, Alternate Member			
12			Chiris Zareniloa, Alternate Meniber			
13 14	Me	mhere	Absent: Robert Roseen, Member			
14	IVIC		Ausent. Robert Roseen, Weinder			
15	Sta	aff Prese	ent: Mark Connors, Town Planner			
17	Die	11 1 1050				
18	1.	Call to	Order/Roll Call			
19		cuir v				
20		Mr. He	buse called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm and called roll call. Mr. House appointed Mr.			
21			ba as a voting member.			
22						
23	2. Review/Approval of Meeting Minutes					
24						
25		Augus	t 4, 2021			
26						
27			buse stated Page 1, Line 27, the second word "anyone" needs to be removed. Mr. Houghton			
28	made a motion to approve the meeting minutes of August 4, 2021 as amended. Ms. Hollasch					
29		second	led the motion. Motion passed unanimously.			
30						
31	3.	Public	e Hearing:			
32		o Di	aband D. Vitala (Owner). Dequast for approval of a condominium subdivision to spects two			
33			chard D. Vitale (Owner) - Request for approval of a condominium subdivision to create two			
34 35		-	barate condominium units on the property, including one for a residential use and one for an ice use, at 7 Emery Lane (Tax Map 13, Lot 44), Zoned Professional Residential. Applicant's			
36			presentative is David W. Vincent, LLS, Land Surveying Services, P.O. Box 1622, Dover,			
37		-	I 03821.			
38		111	1 03021.			
39		Mı	Connors stated the applicant is requesting a condominium subdivision for the existing two			
40			ildings (one building is currently a residence and one is currently an office) to be split into			
41			o condominiums. Mr. Connors recommends the board find the application complete. Mr.			

42 Zaremba made a motion to accept the application as complete. Mr. Canada seconded the
43 motion. Motion carried unanimously.

44

47

45 Mr. Canada made a motion to open the public hearing. Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion.
46 Motion carried unanimously.

David Vincent, Land Surveying Services, stated there are currently two existing structures on 48 49 the property, one structure is a three (3) bedroom single family home that is currently vacant and being worked on and the other structure is a real estate office currently in use. This 50 property is located in mixed use zoning that allows residential and/or professional use. The 51 52 property is under 1 (one) acre with an existing septic system for each structure which the approximate location is shown on the plan. There is an existing well that serves both structures 53 that is located in the middle of the property. The applicant is requesting a condominium 54 subdivision and separate the properties with a limited common area line down through the 55 middle of the property. A subdivision approval through NH DES is required for a 56 condominium subdivision which will have two (2) new, separate, septic systems to be installed 57 as well as a second well. The new septic systems and well locations are shown on the site plan 58 submitted to the board. The subdivision approval application has been submitted to NH DES. 59 Mr. Vincent stated the recommendation noted by the Town Planner (Item #3) that the 60 condominium documents shall be revised to note that Unit 2 shall serve a commercial office 61 use and Unit 1 shall serve as a residential use. Mr. Vincent stated this is not the intent and the 62 condominium approval request is to have a Unit #1 and Unit #2. The property has an existing 63 three (3) bedroom structure noted as 7 Emery Lane, Unit #1; and 7B Emery Lane is a 64 65 commercial use for a real estate office. This approval will be based on the lot loading depending on what the future owner would like to do with the property. The applicant is 66 requesting that both structures can be used as either residential or commercial use. Alexx 67 68 Monastiero, Gove Group Real Estate, stated the intent is allow the use as the zoning allows 69 rather than a requirement in front of the planning board. The existing Unit #2 is used as a Land Development office today but it could be used a residence in the future if an owner chose that 70 71 use; same for the current residential structure. Mr. Connors stated the property owner would be required to go before the Planning Board to change the use and the condominium 72 73 documents could be amended at that time. Mr. Vincent said it is not easy to amend 74 condominium documents. Ms. Monastiero stated the condominium documents, as written, 75 allow either residential or office use in either building. Mr. Canada stated the board should not add layers of requirements to the zoning ordinance and if both units could be used as 76 77 commercial and further restrictions would not be helpful. If it is currently being used as residential the property owner would need to come back before the planning board to change 78 79 the use. 80

81 Mr. Anderson questioned who is responsible for driveway maintenance on the property. Ms. 82 Monastiero stated the plan is to separate the parking area and each unit owner would be 83 responsible for their own maintenance. Mr. Vincent stated the utilities would also be separate 84 for each unit. Mr. House asked for clarification of the location of the proposed septic systems. 85 Mr. Vincent explained the septic system design is ready to be submitted to the state pending 86 planning board approval. Mr. House asked for clarification of the plans submitted which state 87 the use as office use and a single family dwelling and questioned if that is the use being sent to

- the state for septic loading. Mr. Vincent explained commercial use is a minimum of 300 88 89 gallons per day or two (2) bedroom design. Mr. Vincent confirmed one structure will be 90 designed for a 4 (bedroom) use and the other structure will be designed for a (2) bedroom design if the structures were to be used a residential. Mr. House stated the board is looking to 91 approve Building #2 as a commercial office space and Building #1 as residential. Mr. Canada 92 93 stated the board should not lock the applicant into this current use. Mr. House stated if the future property owner would like to change the use they would be required to come before the 94 95 planning board for approval. Mr. Connors stated if the board would like to change the proposed condition #3, he would recommend that at least the second sentence remain that a 96 note be added to the plan that any changes to the use would be required to come before the 97 98 planning board for approval.
- Beth Petit, 12 Emery Lane, stated concern and questioned if a future property owner could
 remove the current structure and add a commercial structure such as a Dunkin Donuts. Mr.
 Canada stated the current zoning would not allow that use. Mr. House explained the
 professional/residential zoning would allow for office use, not commercial use.
- 105Mr. Canada made a motion to close the public hearing. Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion.106Motion carried unanimously.
 - Mr. Houghton stated the applicant is requesting two (2) waivers. Mr. House read the following:
- Waiver #1 from Town of Stratham Subdivision Regulations 2.3.2.d which requires high intensity soils information with lot size calculations and cover letter from a soils scientist. This is an existing lot of record with two (2) existing structures and no new lots are being created, only two new limited common areas on the existing lot. Mr. Houghton made a motion to approve Waiver #1 for Subdivision Regulation 2.3.2.d for high intensity soil information with lot size calculations. Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.
- Waiver #2 from Town of Stratham Subdivision Regulations 2.3.2.h which requires a copy of
 the plan submitted in a digital format as provided for in Addendum D, Digital Submission
 Requirements. This is an existing lot of record with two (2) existing structures and no new lots
 are being only two new limited common areas on the existing lot. The planner has no concerns
 with this request. Mr. Canada made a motion to grant the waiver from Subdivision
 Regulations 2.3.2.h. which requires a copy of plans in digital format. Mr. Zaremba seconded
 the motion. Motion carried unanimously.
- Mr. Canada made a motion to approve the application for a condominium subdivision to create two separate condominium units on the property, including one for a residential use and one for an office use at 7 Emery Lane (Tax Map 13, Lot 44), Zoned Professional Residential consistent with the subdivision plan submitted by, David W. Vincent, LLS, Land Surveying Services dated July 12, 2021 subject to the following conditions to be incorporated prior to plan signature or as noted.
- 132

125

99

104

107

108

109 110

133	Conditions:	
134	1. The applicat	nt shall obtain an address number, and map and lot number for each
135		m unit from the Town of Stratham Assessing Department. The map and lot
136		l be clearly depicted on the Condominium Plan. The condominium unit
137		Il correspond with the lot numbers.
138	2. The draft co	ndominium documents shall be subject to review and final approval of the
139	Town of Stra	atham.
140	3. It shall be no	oted that proposed changes to current uses require the approval of the Planning
141	Board.	
142	-	build be updated to show the 75 foot protective well radii for both wells that are
143	-	pplication. Additionally the applicant shall submit documentation indicating
144		re constructed in accordance with NH DES requirements.
145		nt shall obtain subdivision and septic permit approvals from NH Department
146		iental Services.
147	6. All recordin	g fees shall be paid by the applicant.
148		
149	Mr. Zaremba sec	onded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.
150	Casasat Madula	r Hames Inc. (Owner & Applicant) Dequest for approval of a Conditional
151		r Homes, Inc. (Owner & Applicant) - Request for approval of a Conditional
152 153		ow the construction of a septic system that does not meet the Town
155	-	ler Section 20.1.4 (a and b) of the Zoning Ordinance for a vacant lot on Union 15, Lot 76), Zoned Residential Agricultural.
154	Koau, (Tax Map	15, Lot 70), Zolieu Residential Agricultural.
155	Mr. Connors stat	ed this parcel is an existing lot of record that has not been developed, the lot is
150		tility easements that limits the majority of the lot. Test pits do not align with
157		nents but the town does allow the board to grant a conditional use permit for
159	_	Ir. Connors recommended the board find the application to be complete.
160		
161	Mr. Canada mad	e a motion to accept the application as complete. Mr. Anderson seconded the
162		carried unanimously.
163		
164	Ms. Hollasch ma	de a motion to open the public hearing. Mr. Canada seconded the motion.
165	Motion carried u	nanimously.
166		
167		Stephen Drive, introduced himself as the owner of the parcel and explained he
168	-	in December 2020. The lot has been surveyed and working on a site plan to
169	0	nily home. Test pits were dug and the town requirements is that two (2) pits
170		f soil before the estimated seasonal high water table. Four (4) test pits were
171	-	a very small window of land that is on the property that can be used due to two
172	-	two (2) electric transmission utility easements. Because of the easements the
173		where the septic can be placed. The proposed septic is shown on the plan
174		which shows the required 18 inches of soil. There are other pits that have 14
175		Fore estimated seasonal high water table. Mr. Pierce explained Zoning
176 177		a&b gives the board the authority to grant a Conditional Use Permit is the
177 178		he criteria. Mr. Pierce read through the criteria. The lot is an official lot of d in the Bockingham County Registry of Deeds prior to the request. The use
178	record as recorde	d in the Rockingham County Registry of Deeds prior to the request. The use

for which the exception is sought cannot feasibly be carried out on a portion or portions of the 179 180 lot which complies more fully with this section of the ordinance. Mr. Pierce stated the survey 181 shows the proposed septic plan and it shows there is not much room that is usable on that lot. Mr. Pierce stated the lot was designed to comply with the zoning setback requirements. The 182 design and construction of the proposed use will be consistent with the purpose and intent of 183 this section. Mr. Pierce stated the proposed use is a 2-story colonial single family home with 184 attached garage. Mr. Pierce showed the board a similar built home located at 115 Union Road. 185 186 The lot is surrounded by single family homes of similar size. The applicant has exceeded other applicable minimum design requirements in an effort to mitigate impact resulting from the 187 limitations of this site. Mr. Pierce explained they went above and beyond what the ordinance 188 189 calls for because of the diminished test pits and are proposing to install a pre-treatment plan and doubling the size of the required leach field usually installed with a pre-treatment plan. 190 Mr. Pierce stated this property is located in a single family home neighborhood and backs up to 191 the golf course and complies with "reasonable use". Mr. Pierce explained the spirit and intent 192 of the ordinance will be met since the proposed home will be constructed in a manner 193 compatible with the spirit and intent of the Stratham Master Plan and Zoning Ordinance. 194 195 There are no existing violations of the Stratham Zoning Ordinance on the property. The site is suitable for the proposed use. Mr. Pierce stated there are some wetlands identified but they are 196 197 beyond the limits of the property and have been located on the survey. No topographical or site challenges exist on the property. The proposed use will not impact the neighboring 198 199 properties. Mr. Pierce explained the property will have a drilled well, individual septic, and power is provided at the street. Mr. Pierce explained the proposed home will be almost 200 identical to other homes in the area. The impact on surrounding properties will be a positive 201 202 impact as it will add value to the surrounding properties. There will be no removal or 203 rebuilding of any identified natural or historic resources.

Mr. Zaremba and Mr. House complimented the applicant on placing the home and septic perfectly on a very difficult lot. Mr. Canada stated he has experience with the type of septic system being proposed and stated they work very well. Mr. House requested the applicant make a note to revise "waiver request" to "conditional use permit" on the mylar. Mr. Pierce will speak with the septic designer regarding a septic reserve area. Mr. House asked that the septic reserve area be added to the plan.

Paul McKendry, 91 Union Road, introduced himself as the neighbor across the street and asked
to see what was going to be built and to make sure it was according to regulations. Mr. Pierce
explained the plan to Mr. McKendry.

Ms. Hollasch made a motion to close the public hearing. Mr. Canada seconded the motion.
Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Canada made a motion to approve the application for Conditional Use Permit to allow the
construction of a septic system on Map 15 Lot 76 consistent with the applicant materials
submitted by the applicant, Drew Pierce, submit to the board's deliberations the application
meets the Conditional Use Permit criteria described under Section 20.4 of the Zoning
Ordinance with the following condition.

224

204

211

215

225		Condition:
226 227		1. The mylars shall include the septic reserve area and revise note "municipal waiver request" to "Conditional Use Permit".
228 229		Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.
230 231 232 233 234	c.	Lester and Catherine Carbonneau (Owners) - Request for approval of a subdivision of portions of 83 and 85 Bunker Hill Avenue (Tax Map 10, Lots 6 and 7) to create one new residential lot, Zoned Residential Agricultural. Applicant's representative is Stonewall Surveying, P.O. Box 458, Barrington, NH 03825.
235 236 237		Mr. House stated this application has been postponed to the October 6, 2021 planning board meeting at the request of the applicant.
238 239 240		Mr. Houghton made a motion to continue this hearing to the date specific of October 6, 2021. Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.
240 241 242 243 244 245	d.	Proposed amendments of the Stratham Site Plan Regulations to revise Section 3.3, clarifying when Planning Board site plan review is required, and to adopt a new Section 3.4, to incorporate an Expedited Planning Board Review process, with subsequent sections renumbered.
246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258		Mr. Connors stated the proposed amendments were publically noticed and once the board approves it will added to the site plan regulations. Section 3.3 captures changes to sites to assure the planning board is involved in any changes to use even if no exterior changes are being made. This change will require any Change of Use to come before the planning board and require any architectural modifications being proposed to a commercial building or multifamily building to go before the planning board. Section 3.4 will allow the planning board to waive the public hearing for small, minor, applications to site plans (described in "a" through "f" in this section). Mr. Connors stated the current Change of Use allows under 3,000 square feet to go through this expedited process and suggested the board may want to reduce this threshold to 1,000 square feet. Section F was added to allow time extension to fall under Section 3.4. Mr. Connors explained any current change of use 3,000 square feet or over is required to go before the planning board and traditional public hearing notice. Ms. Hollasch stated this would also be less than 50% of the gross interior square footage. Mr. Connors
259 260 261		agreed. Mr. Zaremba asked whether the board could move it to full site plan review if warranted. Mr. Connors stated yes.
262 263 264		Ms. Hollasch made a motion to open the public hearing. Mr. Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.
265 266 267		Hearing no comments from the audience Mr. Anderson made a motion to close the public hearing. Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.
268 269		Ms. Hollasch made a motion to adopt revisions to Section 3.3 of the Site Plan Regulations clarifying when planning board site plan review is required and to adopt a new Section 3.4 to

incorporate an expedited planning board review process with subsequent sections renumbers.
Mr. Zaremba seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

273 **4. Public Meeting:**

272

274

275 276 a. NHDOT Ten Year Plan

Mr. Connors explained the Department of Transportation updates the NH 10-year plan every 277 two (2) years and it will begin this fall. Mr. Connor recommended a survey on the town 278 279 website regarding major transportation projects in Stratham. There a projects on a "long 280 range" transportation plan but this does not include any items on the 10-year plan. Mr. Connors explained the only project Stratham currently has in the 10-year plan is the Bunker 281 Hill Avenue/Portsmouth Avenue intersection improvements signalization which is not slated to 282 283 be constructed until 2027. Mr. Connors is hoping to lobby to move this plan up by two (2) years with additional monies allocated for infrastructure. The Stratham Circle improvements 284 which have been in long range transportation plan for some time is slated for 2031 and the last 285 sections of these improvements may fall under the 10-year plan. Mr. Connors stated 286 287 discussions need to be had with the state to incorporate this. Mr. House asked for clarification on the plans for the traffic circle. Mr. Connors stated the town hosted a design charrette for the 288 289 Traffic Circle several years back which recommended a complete modification of the circle to include new intersections and roundabouts. The goal was to reduce traffic speeds, improve 290 291 traffic safety, create more spaces for pedestrians and cyclists and foster an environment that is more conducive to a Town Center. Mr. Connors asked the board to review and email him any 292 293 input on the survey. Ms. Hollasch questioned the installation of a "hawk" signal in front of Stratham Hill Park. Mr. Connors said that it is a requirement of the draft zoning changes for 294 295 the Community College parcel that the developer work with the Town to provide a safe 296 pedestrian crossing. The Board discussed the challenges of crossing Portsmouth Avenue for pedestrians. Mr. Connors said the Town would advocate to include a pedestrian signal and 297 298 crossing as part of the Bunker Hill intersection improvements when those are constructed.

b. Miscellaneous Community Planning Issues

Mr. Connors stated there are several businesses in the town that are not following the sign requirements and the code enforcement officer is reaching out to get businesses to comply.

305Mr. House stated Rob Roseen requested a personal leave of absence for September and306October. Mr. Canada questioned if the board was allowed to grant the leave since members are307Select Board appointed. Mr. Houghton stated it would be the Select Board to provide and file308final decision but the planning board could offer their views on the leave. Mr. Anderson stated309Mr. Roseen is a valuable contributor and supports granting the leave. Mr. Zaremba, Ms.310Hollasch, Mr. Canada, and Mr. House agreed. Mr. Houghton requested the board make their311recommendation to the Select Board to grant the leave.

- 313 **5. Adjournment**
- 314

312

299 300

301 302

303

- 315 Ms. Hollasch made a motion to adjourn at 8:15 pm. Mr. Zaremba seconded the motion. Motion
- 316 carried unanimously.
- 317

318 *Note(s):*

- 319
 1. Materials related to the above meeting are available for review at the Municipal Center during normal business hours. For more information, contact the Stratham Planning Office at 603-772-7391 ext. 147.
- 321
 2. The Planning Board reserves the right to take item, out of order and to discuss and/or vote on items that are not listed on the agenda.