Stand STRATHAM, NEW Hannog
1716

1		1/10		
1 2				
3	Stratham Zoning Board of Adjustment			
4	Meeting Minutes			
5		July 10, 2018		
6		Municipal Center, Selectmen's Meeting Room		
7		10 Bunker Hill Avenue		
8		Time: 7:00 PM		
8 9 10				
11				
12	Members Present:	Garrett Dolan, Chairman		
13		Bruno Federico, Full Time Member		
14		Phil Caparso, Full Time Member		
15		Tana Ream, Alternate		
16				
17	Members Absent:	Deidre Lawrence, Full Time Member		
18				
19	Staff Present:	Mark Morong, Building and Zoning Official		
20 21				
22				
23	1) Call to Order/Roll Call			
24				
25	Chairman took roll call and explained the procedure of the hearing to the applicants. Mr. Dolan			
26	asked Ms. Ream	if she would be a voting member for this meeting. Ms. Ream agreed.		
27				
28	2) Approval of Min	nutes		
29				
30	a. May 22, 2018			
31				
32	Mr. Federico	made a motion to approve the May 22, 2018 meeting minutes with Scribner errors		
33	corrected. M	s. Ream seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.		
34				
35	3) Public Hearing(s)		
36				
37	a. Case #639, A	shleigh Sullivan, 6 Laurel Lane, Map 21 Lot 16, Residential/Agricultural		
38	Zoning Distr	ict. This is a public hearing whereby the applicant requests a Special Exception		
39	from Article	V: Supplementary Regulations, Section 5.13: Home Occupations of the Stratham		
40		ance to allow the applicant to conduct a carpet cleaning business from her home.		
41				
42	Mr. Canarson	made a motion to open the public hearing. Mr. Dolan seconded the motion. Motion		
43	carried unani			
		nousry.		
44		1		
		1		

Ashleigh Sullivan, 6 Laurel Lane, explained that she would like to have a home occupation to run 45 a carpet cleaning business from her home. Ms. Sullivan stated there will be two vans, with a 46 company logo, parked on the property. Mr. Federico questioned where the vehicles are currently 47 stored on the property. Ms. Sullivan stated the business currently is operated out of a residence in 48 Newfields, NH Ms. Sullivan explained there is an existing 2-car garage on the property where the 49 vans will be parked and occasionally parked in the yard. Mr. Dolan asked if Ms. Sullivan is 50 requesting additional signage. Ms. Sullivan stated no. Mr. Caparso asked if the current office in 51 the residence will be used as the business office. Ms. Sullivan stated yes. MR. Dolan asked if 52 there will be outside storage of materials. Ms. Sullivan stated no. Mr. Federico questioned if 53 54 hazardous materials are used. Ms. Sullivan stated no and the State of NH has approved the use of the chemicals used. Mr. Caparso asked the size of the office. Ms. Sullivan stated the existing 55 office space is 10x10 in a room over the garage. Ms. Ream asked how many vehicles will be on 56 57 the property at all times. Ms. Sullivan stated the most that would ever be on the property would be five (5); two (2) personal vehicles and three (3) vehicles related to the business. Mr. Dolan asked 58 Mr. Morong if he has any building or zoning concerns on for application. Mr. Morong stated he 59 does not have any concerns with regard to building codes. Mr. Caparso expressed concern with 60 the signage issue with the vehicles. Mr. Dolan stated that if the board makes a condition that the 61 vehicles remain in the garage while on the property and they are found not to be parked in the 62 garage this would be grounds for enforcement. Mr. Federico asked Ms. Sullivan to explain the 63 layout of the house and the screening of the property. Ms. Sullivan explained the layout and 64 screening for the board. Ms. Ream stated a neighbor has concerns with more traffic on the road. 65 Ms. Sullivan stated the one van will go out with two employees and the parking behind the yard 66 screening. Mr. Caparso questioned how many bedrooms are in the residence. Ms. Sullivan stated 67 three (3). Ms. Ream stated there is concern with where the potential garage would be located due 68 to the possible wetlands. Mr. Morong stated if a permit was received for the potential garage, the 69 building department would only issue the permit with the proper setback from the wetlands, if 70 they exist. Mr. Federico stated one of the neighbor's concerns is vehicles parking on the street. 71 Ms. Sullivan stated at night there will be five (5) vehicles on the property with three (3) in the 72 garage and during the day four (4) vehicles with two (2) inside the garage. 73

Mr. Caparso made a motion to close the public hearing for comments. Mr. Federico seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Caparso stated the applicant has a three (3) bedroom house and the amount of vehicles on the property is not unusual for the size of the residence. Mr. Caparso would like a condition for the approval to state there be no parking on the street and the company vehicles remain in the garage at night so there is no signage on the property. Ms. Ream stated the company is not allowed more than two (2) employees and if the company grows it will no longer be allowed in a residential neighborhood.

- 85 17.8.2.c. <u>Special Exceptions</u>: shall meet the following standards:
 - i. Standards provided by this Ordinance for the particular use permitted by special exception;

86 87

74

75

76 77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

Mr. Dolan stated this application meets the standards of the ordinance because it conforms to 88 89 the dimensional, occupancy, and employee requirements which meets the requirements of the Special Exception. The board unanimously agreed. 90 91 92 ii. No hazard to the public or adjacent property on account of potential fire, explosion, or release of toxic materials: 93 94 95 Mr. Caparso stated this application complies due to being a highly regulated industry and as long as the applicant is in compliance with the state standards they would comply with the 96 town standards. The board agreed unanimously. 97 98 99 iii. No detriment to property values in the vicinity or change in the essential characteristics of a residential neighborhood on account of the location or scale of buildings and other 100 structures, parking area, access ways, odor, smoke, gas, dust, or other pollutant, noise, glare, 101 heat, vibration, or unsightly outdoor storage of equipment, vehicles or other materials; 102 103 Mr. Dolan stated this has been addressed with the existing screening and the condition of 104 approval that the company vehicles with signage and/or logos will be garaged at night. Mr. 105 Caparso stated the vehicles on the property as well as the parking is no more than what a 106 three (3) bedroom home would have with the residents that live there. The board agreed 107 108 unanimously. 109 No creation of a traffic safety hazard or a substantial increase in the level of traffic 110 iv. congestion in the vicinity; 111 112 Mr. Dolan stated this is satisfied with the one trip each way during the day, two (2) 113 114 employees traveling in one (1) van and no more congestive than the normal household with three (3) teenage kids. The board agreed unanimously. 115 116 117 No excessive demand on municipal services, including, but not limited to, water, sewer, v. waste disposal, police and fire protection, and schools; 118 119 Mr. Dolan stated this application has no impact on any of these municipal services. The 120 board agreed unanimously. 121 122 123 vi. No significant increase of storm water runoff onto adjacent property or streets; 124 Mr. Dolan stated that even the creation of additional parking area this will not present a 125 problem with storm water runoff. The board agreed unanimously. 126 127 17.8.2. d. Special Exception Approvals: may be subject to appropriate conditions including the 128 129 following: i. Front, side, or rear vards in excess of the minimum requirements of this Ordinance; 130 131 Mr. Dolan stated this has been addressed in the presentation.

132 133	ii.	Screening of the premises from the street or adjacent property by walls, fences, or other devices;	
134 135		Mr. Dolan stated there is existing screening and adequately addressed.	
136	iii.	Modification of the exterior features or buildings or other structures;	
137		Mr. Dolan stated this does not apply to this application.	
138	iv.	Reasonable limitations on the number of occupants and methods and times of operation;	
139 140		Mr. Dolan stated the hours of operation will be $8:00 \text{ am} - 5:00 \text{ pm}$ which is not out of normal business hours.	
141	v.	Grading of the premises for proper drainage;	
142		Mr. Dolan stated this does not apply to this application.	
143	vi.	Regulation of design of access drives, sidewalks, and other traffic features;	
144		Mr. Dolan stated this does not apply to this application.	
145 146	vii.	Regulation of the number, size, and lighting of signs more stringent than the requirements of this Ordinance.	
147 148 149		Mr. Federico stated there will be no signage and the signage on the company vehicles has been addressed as a condition of approval.	
150 151	Mr. Dolan stated that the six (6) criteria for the Special Exception has been unanimously met by the board and a motion to GRANT the Special Exception is in order.		
152 153 154 155 156 157	Ms. Ream made a motion to GRANT the Special Exception request from Article 5, Section 5.13 Home Occupations of the Stratham Zoning Ordinance in Case #639 by Ashleigh Sullivan to allow the applicant to conduct a carpet cleaning business from her home at 6 Laurel Lane, Map 21 Lot 16, and said Special Exception be GRANTED with the following conditions:		
157 158 159 160		There shall be no on-street parking of employee or company vehicles allowed. All company vehicles with signage or logo must remain garaged at night.	
161 162	Mr.	Caparso seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.	
162 163 164 165		Dolan stated the applicant has 30 days to appeal and any work done prior to the 30 day val period is done at the applicant's risk.	
166	4) Misc	cellaneous.	
167 168 169 170 171 172	r	Mr. Federico noted, for the record, that the Mark Morong, Building Inspector/Code Official is retiring and this is will be his last meeting. The board wished Mr. Morong well and thanked him for his service.	
1/2			

173 **5) Adjournment**

174

175 Mr. Caparso made a motion to adjourn at 7:37 pm. Mr. Federico seconded the motion. Motion 176 carried unanimously.