

1 2			Stratham Planning Board Meeting Minutes June 7, 2023
3 4			Stratham Municipal Center Time: 7:00 pm
5 6	Meml	bers Present:	Thomas House, Chair
7			David Canada, Vice Chair
8			Chris Zaremba, Regular Member
9			John Kunowski, Regular Member
10			Nate Allison, Alternate Member
11 12	Meml	bers Absent:	Mike Houghton, Select Board's Representative
13 14 15	Staff	Present:	Mark Connors, Director of Planning and Community Development
15 16	1 C	all to Order/R	all Call
17	1. C		
18	Μ	r. House called	the meeting to order at 7:00 pm and took roll call.
19			\mathcal{C}
20	2. Approval of Minutes		
21		A	2
22	a.	April 19, 202	.5
23 24		Mr. Canada a	stated that the revised minutes are in align with what was discussed. Mr. Kunowski
24 25			edit on line 115 that Mr. Kunowski be changed to Mr. Canada making the motion.
23 26		-	asked if lines 76 to 80 are from the email from Ms. Oliver specifically with regards
27			ation marks. Mr. Connors stated the quotation marks can be removed but were added
28			om the regulations.
29		1	5
30		Mr. House ha	is a comment that the motion on lines 203 to 212 do not contain a second to the motion
31		and a vote. T	he Board clarified that Mr. Zaremba seconded the motion.
32			
33		Mr. Kunowski made a motion to approve the April 19 th , 2023 Planning Board minutes	
34		amended. M	r. Zaremba seconded. All voted in favor and the motion was approved.
35	_		
36	b.	May 17, 2023	3
37			
38			ade a comment that the minutes reference line 193, but with edits to the minutes, the
39 40			will change. Mr. Connors replied that is fine for the draft minutes. The final minutes I to reference the correct line number.
40 41		will be earled	
41		Mr Canada	made a motion to approve the meeting minutes from May 17, 2023. Mr.
43			econded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion was approved.
			The second se

44 **3.** Public Hearing:

45

50

57 58

59

60

61 62 63

64 65

66 67

68 69 70

71

72

81

89

a. Richard A. Beaulieu, Jr. (Applicant and Owner), Request for approval of a condominium subdivision to convert an existing duplex at 81-83 Willowbrook Avenue (Tax Map 23, Lot 20) into two residential condominium units. Survey prepared by TF Moran, 170 Commerce Way, Suite 102, Portsmouth, NH 03801.

51 Mr. House asked Mr. Connors to introduce the project. A single family home at 81-83 52 Willowbrook Avenue was converted to a duplex within the last couple of years. The property is in 53 the Manufactured Housing District where the minimum lot size for a single family home is 1 acre 54 and for a duplex is 2 acres. This lot is a little more than 3 acres so it satisfies that requirement. Last 55 year the Building Department issued a Certificate of Occupancy for the duplex. The Applicant is 56 here today to subdivide the property into two condominiums.

Mr. House asked if Mr. Beaulieu would like to add anything. Mr. Beaulieu added that both units are similar in square footage with one over 1,900 square feet and the other over 1,600 square feet. The newer structure has a garage on the first floor. Both structures have been built with the best materials he could find and they are complete.

Mr. House asked for a motion to consider this a complete application.

Mr. Canada made a motion to accept this as a complete application. Mr. Zaremba seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion was approved.

Mr. House asked for a motion to open the meeting to the public.

Mr. Kunowski made a motion to open the public hearing. Mr. Canada seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion was approved.

73 Mr. House asked if the garage is a shared garage. Mr. Beaulieu replied no. Mr. House asked if it is just for Unit 2. Mr. Beaulieu replied yes. Mr. House asked for confirmation that the Unit 2 living 74 75 space is above the garage. Mr. Beaulieu replied that is correct. Mr. House asked if Unit 1 was 76 originally a four-bedroom and is now a two-bedroom. Mr. Beaulieu replied that it was actually a 77 five-bedroom with a small bathroom and is now a two-bedroom with two and half bathrooms. Mr. 78 House asked what is the common area connecting the units. Mr. Beaulieu replied it is a 14 by 14-79 foot breezeway with the mechanicals for the basement. Mr. House asked if both units use that. Mr. Beaulieu replied yes. 80

Mr. Canada asked if it was an old house. Mr. Beaulieu replied yes and that 81 Willowbrook was the original house with 2 by 4 construction and it is 2 by 6 now. He mirrored the appearance of both units to be similar. There are different window and door systems. Mr. Canada asked if the property was originally a house and a barn. Mr. Beaulieu replied there was a small structure that had rotted and decayed. It was difficult to determine the prior use of the structure, but it was referred to as a barn. Mr. Connors asked for confirmation that the rear part of the structure is the new part. Mr. Beaulieu confirmed.

90 Mr. Kunowski asked if the 3-car garage is for the second unit only and that there is no garage 91 parking for the first unit. Mr. Beaulieu confirmed. Mr. Kunowski asked if the driveway and parking 92 areas are segregated. Mr. Beaulieu replied there are two different driveways and at the end of the 93 driveway for Unit 1, there is room for a two-car garage outside the buffer zone setbacks.

94

106

120

125

129

Mr. Kunowski commented that a representative of the abutting condo association is present and 95 he has seen the proposed Declaration and bylaws of the condominium. Mr. Kunowski asked if the 96 97 subject property is part of the condominium. Mr. Beaulieu replied yes. Mr. Kunowski asked if the bylaws require approval by the homeowners' association for the subdivision. Mr. House corrected 98 99 that the abutter and the subject property are separate associations. Mr. Kunowski restated his 100 question to ask if the Beau Monde Condominium Association needs to approve the subdivision. Mr. Beaulieu replied that the Association becomes part of the bylaws once everything is approved. 101 Mr. Kunowski asked if Mr. Beaulieu occupies one of the units. Mr. Beaulieu replied that he does. 102 103 Mr. Kunowski asked if the second unit is a rental unit. Mr. Beaulieu stated the unit is vacant. Mr. Kunowski asked for confirmation that there is no rental history for the property. Mr. Beaulieu 104 105 confirmed that is correct.

107 Mr. Canada asked Mr. Connors to clarify his suggested conditions regarding wetlands certification and common area boundaries. Mr. Canada does not understand the recommendations as there is 108 an established use and the application is only to change the legal ownership. Mr. Connors replied 109 that he called the NH Department of Environmental Services. Mr. Canada replied that NHDES is 110 a separate process and he asked if they asked for a topographical boundary. Mr. House replied that 111 would be a waiver request. Mr. Beaulieu replied that he spoke with TF Moran who said that 112 NHDES typically needs some sort of topographical boundary for the additional or future septic 113 system so TF Moran will complete that for that area but not necessarily for the entire site. He added 114 that the current septic system plan from 1989 has test pit locations and shows the boundaries and 115 116 how the system was put together and NHDES wants the information in case something happens to the system. Mr. Connors added that Mr. Beaulieu does not need to install it, but needs to have a 117 location reserved. Mr. Beaulieu stated that the wetlands were delineated by an environmental 118 119 scientist and that is show on the drawings.

121 Mr. Zaremba asked if NHDES requires perc tests for approval. Mr. Connors replied that perc tests 122 are required and some topographic boundaries, but probably not of the entire lot. Mr. Zaremba 123 asked for confirmation that if the Board waives the requirement, it is still required at the state level. 124 Mr. Connors confirmed that is correct.

Mr. Allison stated he believes the process begins with a soil scientist classifying the soils and then
a septic design will be made based on the soils. He is not sure that percolation tests are done. Mr.
Connors asked if test pits are done. Mr. Allison replied, yes, to classify the soils.

130 Mr. House asked Mr. Connors to clarify if his suggestion was for topographic contours or wetlands 131 buffer boundaries. Mr. Connors presented the subdivision plan and pointed to a pond and the edge of jurisdictional wetlands. Typically there should be a 25-foot no disturbance area and the plan 132 shows a 50-foot wetlands buffer area. Mr. Connors believes the buffer area is a setback area which 133 134 is different from a no disturbance area in that land can be disturbed in a setback, but there can be no structures in a setback area. In the 25-foot area, nothing can be done, it is a no disturbance 135 zone. Mr. Connors would like to see that posted on the property and noted on the subdivision plan. 136 Mr. House explained the clarification to Mr. Beaulieu and asked if he understood. Mr. Beaulieu 137 replied that he understands and that's why the garage got smaller than his original plans. Mr. 138 139 Beaulieu asked how the buffer can be posted on the property. Mr. Connors replied with some small 140 markers and signs on trees. Mr. Zaremba asked if this would be for all of the areas or just the 25foot no disturbance area. Mr. House replied for the 50-foot wetlands setback. Mr. Connors added 141

- that the plans show a 50-foot area around the wetlands where Mr. Connors believes that in the farthest 25 feet from the wetlands, some minor use of the setback can occur but he would need to confirm. Mr. Connors would like to see the 25-foot wetlands no disturbance line added to the plan, the 50-foot wetlands buffer be relabeled to wetlands setback, and the setback posted on the property. Mr. Beaulieu stated that he had the 25-foot no disturbance area for the pond added to the plan and added that he is aware that area cannot be encroached upon including no mowing.
- 149 Mr. House noted he sees the approximate leach field on the plan and asked where the septic tank 150 is. Mr. Beaulieu replied on the right side of the house towards Alderwood Drive and before the 151 leach field.
- 153 Mr. Allison asked for confirmation that the leach field will remain as is and both units will continue 154 to use it and if the septic system will be inspected. Mr. Beaulieu replied it was inspected two years 155 ago and he is getting ready to do it again. Mr. House added that Mr. Beaulieu will also need to 156 create a new septic design per NHDES requirements.
- 158 Mr. Kunowski asked if utilities other than the shared well and septic are separated. Mr. Beaulieu 159 replied yes. Mr. Kunowski asked if water filtration is separate for each unit. Mr. Beaulieu replied 160 there is no water filtration.
 - Mr. House asked if anyone from the public would like to speak. Dave Reynolds was in the audience representing the abutter, Alderwood Condominiums, and had no comments.
 - Mr. House requested a motion to close the public hearing.

148

152

157

161

162

163 164 165

166 167

168

169

184

Mr. Zaremba made a motion to close the public hearing. Mr. Kunowski seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion was approved.

170 Mr. House requested a discussion on the waiver requests. Mr. Connors stated there are two waiver requests which are from Section II.G.1.c of the Subdivision Checklist to provide topographic 171 contour data at two-foot intervals and Section II.E of the Subdivision Checklist to not provide 172 percolation test pit data. Because NHDES will require test pits for the septic design, Mr. Connors 173 suggests that Mr. Beaulieu forward a copy to the Town for our files. Regarding the topographic 174 175 contour data waiver, the Board could require the data for the area of the proposed septic plan and not for the entire lot. Mr. Beaulieu would not have to provide anything additional to the Town than 176 he will have to provide to NHDES. Mr. Canada asked if the topographical data is required by 177 NHDES. Mr. Connors replied that it is for the area where the septic would be located. Mr. Beaulieu 178 agreed that both of the waiver requests appear to be taken care of by the NHDES requirements. 179 Mr. House clarified that with regards to the contour data, the Town's requirement is for the entire 180 lot and NHDES only requires it for the septic location. Mr. House does not think the contour data 181 for the entire lot is necessary because the structure is existing. He would grant the waiver to Section 182 II.G.1.c. 183

185Mr. House made a motion to grant the waiver for 81-83 Willowbrook Avenue from Section186II.G.1.c to provide two-foot contours per the Town regulations. Mr. Canada seconded the187motion. Mr. Zaremba asked for clarification that the waiver will allow the Applicant to provide188only what the state requires. Mr. House does not think the NHDES requirements are part of this189waiver, but they can make it a condition. Mr. Zaremba asked for clarification that the Board is190granting a complete waiver to the requirement to provide two-foot contour data. Mr. House

191 confirmed and added that the Board can include a condition for contours with the other waiver. All
 192 voted in favor and the motion was approved.

193

199

204

215

227 228

229

230 231

232

233

234

235

236

237

194The Board discussed the second waiver and determined that the waiver should not be granted195because the data will be required by NHDES and the Applicant can submit a copy of it to the196Town. Mr. Allison added that NHDES will determine if the existing septic system is sufficient and197if they need the test pit information, they will require it. Mr. Canada thinks the Board should grant198the waiver to the Town regulation.

200Mr. Zaremba made a motion to grant the waiver for 81-83 Willowbrook Avenue from201Section II.E. of the Subdivision checklist as it applies to the Town's requirement for test pits202and percolation data. Mr. Kunowski seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion203was approved.

205 Mr. House asked if there is any more discussion from the Board. Mr. Connors read aloud the proposed conditions and explained them to the Board and the Applicant. Mr. Zaremba asked if 206 207 both unit owners need access to the garage as noted in the proposed Condition 3 and if any mechanical infrastructure is in the garage. Mr. Beaulieu replied that the mechanical is in the 208 209 breezeway, only one unit has access to the garage, and there is no shared mechanical in the garage. 210 Mr. Beaulieu further described the independent access for the units. Mr. Connors agreed that the condition can be revised to remove the access information. Mr. Connors asked if Mr. Beaulieu will 211 record the floor plans. Mr. Beaulieu replied that he believes it is required. Mr. Canada 212 213 recommended that the condition be revised to state which unit has access to the garage and that the other unit does not have access to it. 214

Mr. Connors asked Mr. Beaulieu to describe the intent of the Limited Common Areas. Mr. 216 217 Beaulieu described that it allows each owner to have some privacy and not see the other unit and also allows a unit owner to construct a fence. He would like to keep the pond area as common land 218 so both owners can enjoy it. He added that the septic area would be common land. Both houses 219 have spray foam insulation that acts as a sound barrier. The breezeway is a 14' by 14' area that 220 also acts as a sound barrier. Mr. Connors explained the purpose of the wetlands signs is so an 221 owner understands the limitations within their Limited Common Area as it applies to wetlands. 222 Mr. Beaulieu would like to strike a balance with not infringing on the wetlands but to still allow 223 224 an owner to have a fenced area for privacy or for a pet. Mr. House summarized the locations and use of the Limited Common Areas and the Common Area. He asked if the hash marks noting 225 Common Area should be removed from Alderwood Drive. Mr. Beaulieu agreed. 226

Mr. Zaremba made a motion that the Planning Board approve the Subdivision Application submitted by Richard Beaulieu for 81-83 Willowbrook Avenue (Tax Map 20, Lot 23) to create two condominium units consistent with the condominium site plan prepared by TF Moran Engineers, last revised May 26, 2023 subject to the following conditions to be implemented prior to plan signature or as otherwise noted:

- 1. The Applicant shall work with the Town Planner to incorporate minor or technical comments into the plans and associated documents.
- 2. The plan shall be stamped by a Certified Wetland Scientist and all wetland setback and buffer boundaries shall be clearly delineated on the plan.
- 2383. The Condominium Declaration and/or Bylaws shall be revised to clearly state that239the owners are responsible for the shared maintenance of the on-site well and septic

240	systems, to include the interior square-footage of each unit, and to include the rights
241	of access for each unit owner to the garage facilities. The Town's counsel may
242	conduct a final review of the documents prior to recording and the applicant shall
243	be responsible to address any such comments.
244	4. The applicant shall obtain an updated NHDES Septic Approval and the Septic
245	Permit number shall be noted on the plan. The 75-foot protective well radius shall
246	be shown on the plan. Any test pit data required as part of the septic approval shall
247	be provided to the Town.
248	5. The applicant shall obtain NHDES Subdivision Approval and the approval number
249	shall be noted on the plan.
250	6. The Town's wetland non-disturbance buffer area shall be physically marked on the
250	property. The Town Planner shall inspect the property prior to recording of the
251	plan to ensure the markers are in place.
	1 1
253	7. The applicant shall provide the final plans for final signature and recording by the
254	Town. The applicant shall be responsible for all recording fees.
255	8. The boundaries of the Limited Common Areas shall be revised to exclude areas of
256	the property that are protected under the Town's wetland non-disturbance buffer
257	areas.
258	All voted in favor and the motion was approved.
259	The voted in favor and the motion was approved.
260	4. Other Business:
261	

a. Discussion of proposed revisions to Stratham Site Plan and Subdivision Regulations

262 263

264 Mr. Connors presented proposed revisions to the Site Plan Regulations. The first is regarding the width of driveways serving parking areas. An example is 20 Portsmouth Ave where the driveway 265 along the front of the shopping plaza is only 20 feet wide. There is also an undersized fire lane. A 266 new bank is proposed at the property and Mr. Connors requested that the bank widen the driveway 267 but the regulations do not require it. Mr. Connors recommends an adjustment to the regulations to 268 establish the following minimum widths for driveways that do not directly access parking areas: 269 22 feet for two-way driveways and 12 feet for one-way driveways. Mr. House noted that there is 270 currently no striping on the 20-foot driveway so one car could take up the entire driveway. He 271 wonders if it was made narrow on purpose to control speed. Mr. Zaremba noted that the width of 272 273 the driveway seems to change throughout its length. Mr. Canada asked if this proposed change will affect the plaza. Mr. Connors replied no, that it is for the future. Mr. Zaremba asked if 22 feet 274 275 is a standard width. Mr. Connors replied that he found other towns with 22 feet. Mr. Connors and Mr. House believe 22 feet is reasonable. Mr. Allison agrees as long as there is no parking along 276 the road because then it impedes two-way traffic. The Board agreed to add a condition that there 277 can be no parking along the access ways. Mr. Canada asked for clarification that this is for roads 278 within a parking lot. Mr. Connors replied that a requirement currently exists for perpendicular 279 parking that the minimum width requirement is 24 feet. This proposal is for the main driveway 280 where there would be no parking. Mr. Canada stated that this is not a road, but part of a parking 281 lot. Mr. House replied it is not a designated road, but is an access road. Mr. Canada asked if 22 282 feet is considered a legal road. Mr. Connors replied that 24 feet is the standard for two-way traffic 283 on a road. Mr. Allison commented that in the example of a driveway into a shopping center, there 284 285 are curbs that are restrictive. Mr. House asked if it would help if the roads were striped. Mr. Connors replied that it might. Mr. House suggested that the Town ask existing property owners if 286 they would add striping. Mr. Connors agreed and added the new requirement would be for future 287 288 applications. Mr. Canada noted that the revisions to the requirements would not apply to pending

289 applications, including the Chase Bank.

290

293

311

319

323

327

328

329 330

331332

291The second revision would be to update references to ADA Standards for Accessible Design to292include all amendments to the standards.

294 The third revision is related to bicycle parking. The regulations currently state that off-street bicycle parking may be required by the Planning Board in the General Commercial, Gateway 295 296 Commercial Business, and Town Center Zoning Districts. The proposal is to require 10 spaces for bicycle parking for a new building that is 2,500 square feet or more and is not a single-family home 297 or duplex; 20 bicycle spaces for non-residential buildings that are 10,000 to 20,000 square feet; 298 299 and over 20,000 square feet the Board would set a minimum number of parking stalls for the use. Mr. House asked how the Board would know how many parking stalls are adequate for the use. 300 301 Mr. Connors would research different categories of uses and provide recommendations. The Board discussed different options for determining the number of spaces. With regards to the 150 foot 302 303 distance, Mr. Allison asked if the intent is to insure that the bicycles are within or outside of 150 304 feet of the building. Mr. Connors replied within 150 feet. Mr. Connors continued explaining other requirements such as the bike racks shall be constructed of durable material, on impervious 305 material, secured to the ground or to a structure, shall include bike locking ability, and adequate 306 exterior lighting. Applicants could request a waiver from the requirements. The existing language 307 does not require it so it is not a topic for discussion during site plan review. Mr. Zaremba is in 308 favor of the revision. Mr. Kunowski asked if the regulation updates are approved by the Board or 309 by town meeting. Mr. Connors replied that they are approved by the Board with a public hearing. 310

The fourth revision is to sidewalks. Most of the requirements are duplicated in the Zoning Ordinance for many districts like the Gateway and Town Center, so Applicants in those districts can be referred to the Ordinance for requirements. For other districts, the Planning Board can require sidewalks or a trail facility. Mr. House asked for confirmation that the material required for sidewalks is concrete. Mr. Connors confirmed. Mr. Connors added there is another section in sidewalks that is out of date regarding sidewalk setbacks along Portsmouth Avenue. That section should exclude the Gateway and Town Center Districts that have their own requirements.

- The fifth revision is to fire protection. The Fire Chief has many ideas he would like to have included into the regulations. One is to require Knox Boxes for all commercial and multi-family uses that will allow fire personnel access to structures in an emergency.
- The final revision is to amend the subdivision regulations to eliminate language related to porkchop lots since the Town voted to eliminate the porkchop lot provisions in the Zoning Ordinance in 2022.

Mr. Canada suggested that the Gateway regulations be revised since the water and sewer infrastructure that was anticipated did not materialize.

b. Miscellaneous Community Planning Issues

Mr. Connors notified the Board that they are invited to a program by the Workforce Housing Coalition of the Greater Seacoast, "Navigating the Infrastructure Challenge", at Flag Hill Winery on June 15th for towns without water and sewer infrastructure. Mr. Connors will register anyone that wants to attend. Mr. House will attend and is already registered.

- Mr. Connors presented a revised Planning Board schedule in response to longer newspaper lead
 times for processing public hearing notices. Mr. Zaremba made a motion to approve the revised
 Planning Board schedule notice deadlines. Mr. Canada seconded the motion. All voted in
 favor and the motion was approved.
- 343 Mr. Allison noted that he won't be at the next meeting.

345Mr. Kunowski asked the status of the crumbling barn on Portsmouth Avenue that he recalls was346required removed by June. Mr. Connors replied that he spoke with the owner who said he is having347trouble lining up his contractor and believes he has until the end of June.

- Mr. Kunowski asked the status on the landscape plan at the Saltonstall Farm. Mr. Connors replied that he will work with the Building Inspector to determine if the landscaping is complete.
- Mr. Zaremba asked if the Citizens Bank ATM should have directional signage. He commented that he used it the other day and noticed that he could enter from either end so the passenger side of the car could also access the ATM (in addition to the driver's side). Mr. Connors said he will check the plan.
- 357 5. Adjournment
- 358

356

342

344

348

351

Mr. Canada made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:22 pm. Mr. Zaremba seconded the motion. All voted in favor and the motion was approved.