

Stratham Planning Board Meeting Minutes January 19, 2022 Stratham Municipal Center Time: 7:00 pm

8 Member Present: Tom House, Chair

9 David Canada, Vice Chair

Mike Houghton, Selectmen's Representative

Pamela Hollasch, Member

Joe Anderson, Alternate Member

Members Absent: Chris Zaremba, Alternate Member

Robert Roseen, Member

Staff Present: Mark Connors, Town Planner

1. Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. House called the meeting to order and took roll call.

2. Approval of Minutes

a. January 5, 2022

Mr. Anderson made a motion to approve the minutes of January 5, 2022. Ms. Hollasch seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously.

3. Public Hearing

a. Stratham Retail Management, LLC (Owner), Request for site plan approval to construct a 10,260 square-foot medical office building and associated improvements at 23 Portsmouth Avenue (Tax Map 4, Lot 13), Zoned Gateway Commercial. Applicant's representative is Stonefield Engineering and Design, 92 Park Avenue, Rutherford, NJ 07070.

Mr. Connors stated this is the first public hearing on this site plan application. The siting, size, and architectural design of the structure are very similar to what was reviewed during the preliminary consultations at previous meetings. The applicant is proposing a 10,260 square foot dermatology center. Mr. Connors noted that he has shared emails with the board from Jim Hewitt, from NH DOT who stated the driveway access is conceptually approved; Police Chief King stating that he has no concerns; and from Fire Chief Larrabee who indicated a preference that traffic not be allowed to exist onto Portsmouth Avenue and only exit onto McInnis Avenue

behind the facility. Mr. Anderson asked Mr. Connors if he understood the reason for Chief Larrabee's concern. Mr. Connors said not fully. He received the e-mail only today and had reached back out to the Chief for more information but had not heard back. He said that he could speculate that in some right-only turn configurations, there is concern that traffic will make illegal left-turns which would present a safety concern, but he said he couldn't speak for Chief Larrabee in this case.

51

Max Puyanic of Optima Dermatology thanked the Board and stated the applicant's engineer is running late. He briefly introduced some of the team behind Optima Dermatology. Jake Modestow, of Stonefield Engineering and Design, introduced himself as the representative for the applicant, property owner, and current tenant for the property, Optima Dermatology and apologized for his late arrival. Mr. Modestow gave an overview of the site and application. The property is a 1.4 acre parcel with frontage on Portsmouth Avenue (Route 108) and McInnis Avenue that is a private road in the back of the property. There is a reciprocal easement agreement which gives rights to McInnis Avenue. Mr. Modestow stated there is a 15 foot grade change from the north of the property to the south of the property. Mr. Modestow stated this property is part of the Gateway District, which is the entrance to the town and the corridor with the goal to have a vibrant, mixed use district. The applicant is proposing the structure to be pushed to the front of the property along Portsmouth Avenue and to provide architectural characters that fit the district. The structure will be a single-story medical office 15 feet from Portsmouth Avenue to comply with the intent of the use and location. The applicant is proposing additional square footage for the front sidewalk area and it has been doubled in size from the main entrance that faces Portsmouth Avenue. The traffic flow will be left and right turns into the property and right turn only for exiting the property. A traffic generation memo was submitted to NH DOT and in the application to explain this proposed use is considered "low traffic generator". During peak hours there is no more than one car every two minutes. Sixty-six (66) parking spaces are being proposed, eleven (11) above the fifty-five (55) spaces required by code. There are two areas dedicated for snow storage. There will be two (2) proposed retaining walls for the grade change on the east and west side of the property. The applicant is proposing an underground chamber system for storm water. Mr. Modestow gave an overview of the building elevations and proposed materials. There will be two (2) tower unit components that will have wood and concrete looking materials to bring it together with the 110 Grille neighboring property. The roofs will be sloped with arches away from the central entrance. Metal awnings will be installed to break up and define the building on all elevations. The landscaping will incorporate different elements against the building to provide texture between the building and the green space/open space of the property. There will be six (6) area lights that are LED modern fixtures that will be down lit and dark sky compliant. The applicant is requesting a waiver for lighting beyond the property line that will only be located at the driveway locations. Mr. Puyanic gave an overview of the interior design for the proposed structure.

82 83 84

85

86

87 88

89

90 91

80

81

Mr. Canada and Mr. Anderson voiced concern with the location of the structure so close to Portsmouth Avenue. Mr. Modestow stated the structure is 15 feet from the right of way and moved back an extra five (5) feet per the board's request. Mr. House questioned the inconsistencies between the architecture and the site plan. Mr. Modestow explained the elevations were updated and provided in the presentation to the board this evening. Mr. Anderson asked for clarification of the snow storage areas. Mr. Modestow confirmed the snow storage areas on the plan submitted before the board this evening. Ms. Hollasch questioned the setback of 110 Grille. Mr. Connors stated 110 Grille setback is approximately 25 feet back from

115116

117118119

121 122 123

120

124 125 126

127128

129

130131

132

133

134135136

137138

139

Portsmouth Avenue. The board recommended the structure be set back five (5) extra feet. The applicant explained the structure was designed with many architectural features to be attractive from either direction of travel. Mr. Modestow stated there may be room to move the structure another five (5) feet away from Portsmouth Avenue and they do not want to be too close to the septic and the well at the rear of the property. Ms. Hollasch stated her approval with the current location of the structure as it follows current requirements. Mr. Anderson asked for clarification of the septic and well location. Mr. Modestow confirmed location on the plan before the board this evening. Ms. Hollasch approved all aspects of this project and would like to recommend the applicant provide pedestrian connectivity with a sidewalk in the front of the property. Mr. Modestow stated a connection from the front to the back of the property is harder than it seems from the grading standpoint and may be intrusive. The sidewalk is will be required to be ADA compliant that the applicant will need to adhere to. Ramps with a handrail would be required. A sidewalk may be added with proper approvals and signoffs. Mr. House asked if the application had been through peer review. Mr. Connors stated no. Mr. House asked Mr. Connors to move forward with third party peer review. Mr. Connors stated the existing structure is over 50 years old so a demolition permit and process will be required. Mr. Houghton stated this is a great project that has come a long way and likes that there is no parking in the front of the property which enhances its visual appeal. Mr. Houghton understands the applicant's challenges with timelines and recommends the board list items of concerns as "conditions of approval" and final approval as per the town planner. Mr. Houghton stated he will not approve a waiver for the lighting and lighting needs to follow the current standards. Mr. Connors asked for clarification whether the plans submitted, dated January 10, 2022, have been changed. Mr. Modestow stated any changes were listed in the response letter to the town dated January 6, 2021.

Mr. Canada made a motion to open the hearing up for public comment. Mr. Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Brian Connolly, of Chisholm Farm Drive, stated his excitement to be a part of the Optima team. He noted that he is a Board-certified dermatologist who would be seeing patients at the new Stratham facility. Mr.Connolly stated that Stratham is a great community to live in, noted he was looking forward serving the community as a physician, and asked the board to approve the site plan application.

Mr. Anderson made a motion to close the public hearing. Mr. Canada seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Connors recommended the following conditions and the board discussed.

- 1) The applicant shall move the structure back five (5) feet further from Portsmouth Avenue.
 - Mr. Modestow stated the applicant would like to keep the location of the structure as they are following current zoning district regulations. Mr. Canada and Mr. Houghton stated the applicant is following current regulations and agreed with the structure's location. The majority of the board agreed with the current location so the building will remain in the location presented to the board this evening.
- 2) The applicant shall revise the plan to eliminate the need for a lighting waiver.

141		
142		Mr. Canada asked for clarification of the material to be used for the sidewalk. The applicant
143		stated concrete.
144		
145	4)	The applicant shall revise the driveway onto Portsmouth Avenue from 20 feet back to 14 feet.
146		
147		Mr. Modestow explained this request is from NH DOT as part of their requirement. The
148		board requested the applicant to request the change with NH DOT and if they are not in
149		agreement then it will remain at 20 feet wide exit aisle. Mr. Modestow stated there will be
150		signage to direct traffic to and from the property.
151		
152	5)	The applicant shall have third party peer review of the storm water plans, address any
153		comments from the third party engineer, and pay all related fees.
154		
155	6)	The applicant shall provide ready-block or a suitable alternative to be administratively
156		approved by the Town Planner.
157		
158	Mr	. Canada made a motion to approve this application based on the submitted plans dated
159		uary 6, 2022 with the conditions listed below. Mr. Anderson seconded the motion. Motion
160		ried unanimously.
161		·
162	Co	nditions of Approval:
163		
164	1)	The exterior lighting plan shall be revised to eliminate the need for any waiver from the
165	-/	town's Site Plan requirements.
166	2)	The applicant shall obtain NH DOT driveway permit and the permit number shall be noted
167	,	on the plan. If NH DOT agrees to reduce the exit aisle to 14 feet in width, the exit aisle
168		shall be reduced to that width.
169	3)	The storm water report and plan shall be subject to a third party engineering review. The
170	- /	applicant shall be responsible for the cost of the third party review. The applicant shall also
171		be responsible to respond to any technical comments that the third party engineer provides
172		to the satisfaction of the Planning Department.
173	4)	The plan shall be revised to include a detail for the retaining wall in ready-block. The Town
174	,	Planner may administratively approve a change in material for the retaining wall if
175		necessary.
176	5)	The applicant shall obtain NH DES septic permit approval and the approval number shall
177	- /	be noted on the plan.
178	6)	The applicant shall provide an operations and maintenance manual for the storm water
179	-,	facilities and an affidavit stating that the owner shall be responsible to obtain the storm
180		water system in good working order. This document shall be recorded and the recording fee
181		shall be the responsibility of the applicant.
182	7)	The site plan shall be recorded and recording fees shall be the responsibility of the
183	.,	applicant.
184	8)	The town's third party engineer shall conduct at least one (1) inspection of the site during

3) The applicant shall add a sidewalk to cross the front setback of the building.

140

185

186

cost of the inspections shall be the responsibility of the applicant.

construction to ensure that the storm water facilities are installed according to plan. The

The applicant and the Town of Stratham shall enter into a development agreement and provide a performance guarantee to ensure the site is developed according to plan.

- 10) Prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, all improvements depicted on the plan shall be installed.
- 11) Within 90-days of the issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy, the applicant shall provide a certified as-built plan to the town.
- 12) The plan shall be revised to provide a sidewalk in the front setback, across the property line, as per the red-lined plan revisions dated January 19, 2022.

b. The Planning Board held a public hearing on the proposed zoning amendments outlined below. The full text of the amendments is available at the Planning Department and on the Town website at www.strathamnh.gov.

- i. Amendment #3: Amendment #3: Accessory Dwelling Units. To delete Sub-Section 5.4 Accessory Dwelling Units in its entirety and to replace with a revised Sub-Section 5.4 Accessory Dwelling Units to clarify the requirements associated with accessory dwelling units and to enact additional requirements for detached accessory dwelling units to ensure that such uses do not create the appearance of two primary residences on a single lot.
- ii. Amendment #4: Solar Energy Systems. To delete Sub-Section 5.14.4.2 Setbacks for Solar Energy Systems in its entirety and replace with a revised Sub-Section 5.14.4.2 in order to require small-scale ground-mounted solar energy systems to meet the minimum property setbacks of the applicable zoning district and to increase the front setback requirement for medium- and large-scale systems.
- iii. Amendment #5: Route 33 Legacy Highway Heritage District. To create a new zoning district, the Route 33 Legacy Highway Heritage District, for parcels with frontages along the Route 33 corridor from the Town Center District to the Greenland town line. The intent of the District is to encourage historic preservation and adaptive re-use of structure through both greater regulation of demolitions and major building alterations as well as through greater flexibility in land uses.
- iv. Amendment #6: Table of Uses. To delete Section 3.6 Table of Uses and Footnotes to Table 3.6 in its entirety and replace with a revised Section 3.6 Table of Uses and Footnotes to Table 3.6 in order to better clarify permitted and prohibited uses by zoning district.
- v. Amendment #7: Definitions. To amend Section II Definitions to provide definitions for land uses included in the Table of Uses to better define and clarify the permitted nature and scope of land uses.
- vi. Amendment #8: Table of Dimensional Requirements. To amend Section 4.2 Table of Dimensional Requirements and Section 4.3 Explanatory Notes to clarify the minimum frontage requirement for residential parcels and properties in the Professional/Residential District.
- vii. Amendment #9: Affordable Senior Housing. To eliminate Section 5.7 Affordable Senior Housing and re-number subsequent sections of the Ordinance, because the Ordinance

already provides for Elderly Affordable Housing and this section is duplicative. This is a housekeeping amendment to eliminate inconsistencies related to the land use in the Ordinance.

238239240

234

235

236237

viii. Amendment #10: Building Ordinance. To amend Section 16.2.1 of the Building Ordinance to add a Sub-Section A to prohibit the issuance of building permits for a property if existing non-inspected permits are outstanding on the property.

241242

243

244

245

246

247248

Mr. House asked Mr. Connors to provide a brief update to the Board regarding the amendment language if there had been any changes since the previous public hearing. Mr. Connors said that there had been relatively few languages. Staff had made the changes to the Route 33 draft zoning language consistent with the Board's directives from the previous hearings. All of those changes are highlighted in the document. As requested, the Town's legal counsel also reviewed the zoning language and there were some generally minor changes incorporated based on her suggestions. Mr. Connors briefly went through all of the changes recommended by the Town's legal counsel. The Board agreed with the changes that were incorporated.

249250251

Mr. Canada made a motion to open the public hearing for comment. Mr. Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

252253254

Hearing none, Mr. Canada made a motion to close the public hearing. Mr. Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

255256257

Mr. Anderson made a motion to advance Amendments #3 through #10 to the Town Warrant. Mr. Canada seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

258259260

4. Public Meeting

261262

263264

a. Discussion of Pedestrian Cyclist Advisory Committee

265266267

discussions to move PCAC from a town standing committee to an advisory committee of the Planning Board. The Planning Board would be responsible to appoint members and give tasks to complete. After board discussion and approval, Mr. Connors stated he will put together a list of committee members to be approved at the next meeting. Ms. Hollasch volunteered to be the Planning Board representative for the committee.

Mr. Connors stated the PCAC is appointed by the Select Board every year. There have been

269270271

268

5. Adjournment

on the agenda.

272273

Mr. Anderson made a motion to adjourn at 9:00 pm. Mr. Canada seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

274275276

Note(s):

277278

Materials related to the above meeting are available for review at the Municipal Center during normal business hours.
 For more information, contact the Stratham Planning Office at 603-772-7391 ext. 147.
The Planning Board reserves the right to take item, out of order and to discuss and/or vote on items that are not listed

279280281